

NASA OFFICE OF MISSION TO PLANET EARTH
NRA-97-MTPE-05
Centers of Excellence in Applications of Remote Sensing to
Regional and Global Integrated Environmental Assessments
Proposal Evaluation

Proposal Number: COE/97-_____

Proposal Title: _____

PI Name: _____

PI Institution: _____

OVERALL EVALUATION:

E VG G F P

(Circle One)

The evaluation criteria are described below. Criteria 1 and 2 are more important than criteria 3, 4, and 5, and are approximately equal to each other in weight. Criteria 3, 4, and 5 are approximately of equal weight.

1. Research and educational merit. Consideration of the quality of the existing research and teaching/training activities and any unique or new capabilities that will ensue from the acquisition of the equipment. Degree to which the institution(s) has enhanced its capability to integrate observations from multiple remote sensing resources, in situ measurements, and socioeconomic data. Qualifications of the investigators who will use the equipment and integrate remote sensing knowledge with environmental monitoring and research.

Strengths:

Weaknesses:

2. Program relevance. Degree to which the research and/or educational activities served by the requested equipment advances the goals of MTPE and aligns with the goals and core strategies articulated in the OSTP/NSTC/CENR proposed framework for integrating the nation's environmental monitoring and research networks and programs. Level of network development with partners in the Federal, state, local, non-governmental organizations, and private-sector remote sensing industry.

Strengths:

Weaknesses:

3. Infrastructure need. The adequacy and appropriateness of the research equipment for current and expected research and educational activities; demonstrated need for improvement of the institutional infrastructure based on analysis of availability, age, condition, and/or capability of existing local resources and infrastructure.

Strengths:

Weaknesses:

4. Project impacts. The breadth and immediacy of the contributions of the project towards improving the institutions' interdisciplinary capabilities, demonstrating the institutions' ability in shared use of the equipment for research and teaching/training, as well as broadening the institutions' capacity and efficacy in influencing the next-generation environmental monitoring systems.

Strengths:

Weaknesses:

5. Plans and funding. The institutional plan for maintenance and operation of the requested equipment. The adequacy of the major users' research support to appropriately utilize the equipment.

Strengths:

Weaknesses:

Additional Comments:

*Evaluator agrees to use the information contained in this proposal only for the purpose of performing this evaluation, and to **return all copies** and associated data upon completion of the evaluation. This agreement does not apply to information obtained by the evaluator from other sources without restrictions nor to published information.*

Evaluator's Signature: _____

Evaluator's Name (typed): _____

Date of Evaluation: _____

Evaluator's Affiliation: _____

